| **Student Name:** Stephanie Kwok |
| --- |

| **Motion:** This house would implement an aggressively redistributive income tax |
| --- |

| Student spoke for the duration of the specified time frame. | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | **5** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student offered and/or accepted a point of information relevant to the topic. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student spoke in a stylistic and persuasive manner (e.g. volume, speed, tone, diction, and flow). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s argument is complete in that it has relevant Claims, supported by sufficient Evidence/Warrants, Impacts, and Synthesis. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student argument reflects application of theory taught during class time. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student’s rebuttal is effective, and directly responds to an opponent’s arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student ably supported teammate’s case and arguments. | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Student applied feedback from previous debate(s). | N/A | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Competition Score: | 70.5 | | | | | |
| Rubric  1 - Unobserved.  2 - Student attempt noted. Needs extended teacher support to properly execute skill.  3 - Student effort noted. Can execute skill with minimal teacher input and guidance.  4 - Student can execute skill with little to no prompting.  5 - Student can execute skill without prompting; exceeds expectations for child of that level. | | | | | | |
| **Teacher comments:**  [NOTE: Today’s speeches are 7 minutes’ long.]  Where is the hook for the speech, Steph?  The counter set-up is unclear:   * You need strategic safeguards to deal with Prop’s human costs! * Suggest that the state can co-opt a lot of these policies without aggressive taxation. * You can support a mixed economy and still have safety net policies. * What’s your burden?   While I can admit that you have more consumer spending, it is not clear how it actually fixes inequality on your point.   * We have to engage with Prop’s point that the wealth is being hoarded at the top without redistributive taxes. * It’s not very intuitive to suggest that corporate donations will be meaningful, since they are profit-motivated actors.   + So instead, engage in the difference in capacity between the state and corporations. What are all the things that corporations can do but the state cannot, e.g. they can handle job creation in the economy better than the state can.   Rather than contend on whether poverty is a contributing factor for crimes, explain that your state will also focus on ensuring poor people have safety nets, and law and order can still be well-funded on Opp.   * We eventually mentioned this later on, well done! * To flip this, explain that poor economic growth means the state will collect lower taxes anyway. Conclude clearly the funding of public services will be compromised because high taxes lead to economic regression.   + Then guide the judge on the strategic conclusion that Opp’s economic plan is the prerequisite to Prop’s policy plan.   Our rebuttals on innovation should be in our argument instead, otherwise it ends up being repetitive.  Can we work on speech structure, Steph? There’s no clear transition between ideas, and we’re jumping between points.   * We should also move on to our arguments by 04:00 max!   On motivating the wealthy, it isn’t clear why it’s unjust when Prop has explained that redistributing wealth is key to access to equality. As well as the rich have diminishing returns when they have excessive wealth.   * What is the level of harm they will be suffering from? * Excellent point on passing down the costs to consumers, this should be the argument!   + Will this necessarily be a problem since the state has safeguarded the level of income of the average civilian?     - We should also be comparative and engage with Prop’s argument on cyclical poverty being a byproduct of wealth accumulation by the financial elite.   We need to expand the economic harms in the way where it hurts the poor!   * Point out that this will lead to layoffs, explain the importance of job creation as the epicenter of all forms of economic growth.   + Impact on competition, innovation, etc   Please offer morePOIs today!  7.28 | | | | | | |